**COMP 208/214/215/216: Group Software Project**

**DEMONSTRATION FEEDBACK FORM**

**Team:**

**Project Title:**

**Date:**

**Team Members Present:**

**System availability** (please tick all that apply):

* Easily accessible web-site
* Code and documentation in CD
* Mobile client in CD
* Other (please specify): …………………………….…………………………….……………………………..

**Demo Reviewer:**

**Summary**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Category** | **A+** | **A** | **B** | **C** | **D** | **E+** | **E- to F-** | **G** |
| **Quality** (overall software quality) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Software Understanding** (level of understanding of the software) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Key features demonstrated** (how well were main project features shown) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Additional features** (assessing their number and quality) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Clarity** (how well did the demo convey a clear idea of what has been done) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Grade:**

**Detailed Assessment**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **A+** | **A** | **B** | **C** | **D** | **E+** | **E- to F-** | **G** |  |
| **Content** | **System satisfies requirements** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | **Requirements not satisfied** |
| **System has sufficient scope** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | **System has very limited scope** |
| **Good quality interface** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | **Poor Interface** |
| **Organisation** (of review) | **Appropriate Level of Detail** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | **Too much/little detail** |
| **Logically Developed** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | **Lacks Coherence** |
| **Well chosen examples** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | **No/badly chosen examples** |
| **All aspects of system shown** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | **Elements Missing** |
| **User Manual** | **Good User Oriented Views** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | **Poor User Oriented Views** |
| **Good Instructions on Installing/Setting Up the System** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | **Poor Set Up Instructions** |
| **Good “How to Use” Instructions** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | **Poor Instructions** |

**Presentation:**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **A++** | **A+** | **A** | **B** | **C** | **D** | **E+** | **E-** | **F** | **F-** | **G** |
| **Fluently presented** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Comfortable with software** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Question Handling** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Additional Comments:**

**Marking Descriptors:**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Grade Percentage** | **General Description** | **Software Demonstrated** | **Review Meeting** |
| A++ 90% - 100% | Exceptional work | System meets all requirements. Software works as expected for both student and assessor chosen examples. System shows exceptional degree of originality and is novel. The implementation is cutting-edge: it uses complex algorithmic or data management techniques | Shows critical understanding of software. Fluent and succinct presentation; handled questions well |
| A+ 80% - 89% | Outstanding work | System meets all requirements. Software works as expected for both student and assessor chosen examples. System shows some degree of originality | Shows critical understanding of software. Fluent and succinct presentation; handled questions well |
| A 70% - 79% | Excellent work | System meets all requirements. Software works as expected for both student and assessor chosen examples. | Shows comprehensive understanding of software. Fluent and succinct presentation; handled questions well |
| B 60% - 69% | Competent work | System meets most requirements. Software works as expected for both student and assessor chosen examples. | Shows good understanding of software. Mostly fluent and succinct presentation; handled most questions well |
| C 50% - 59% | Satisfactory work | System meets major expected requirements, but some others may be missing. Software works as expected for some of the chosen examples. | Satisfactory understanding of software, and presentation. Answered questions satisfactorily |
| D 40% - 49% | Adequate work | System meets some of the expected requirements, but some major features are missing. Software works as expected for few of the chosen examples. Some examples are not chosen very carefully | Shows some understanding of software but lacks depth of breadth. Clumsy and repetitive presentation; unclear answers to questions |
| E+ 35% - 39% | Marginal failure | System meets only very few of the expected requirements, missing most of the major features. Some examples are not chosen very carefully. Software does not work on most examples. | Shows limited or fragmented understanding of software. Clumsy and repetitive presentation; deficiencies and omissions in a large proportion of the presentation; unable to give satisfactory answers to some questions |
| E- 30% - 34% | Non-marginal failure | System meets only very few of the expected requirements, missing almost all of the major features. Poorly chosen examples are not chosen. Software does not work on any of them. | Shows limited or fragmented understanding of software. Clumsy and repetitive presentation; serious deficiencies and omissions in a large proportion of the presentation; unable to give satisfactory answers to most questions |
| F 20% - 29% | Work shows little effort | System meets only very few minor requirements. Poorly chosen examples are not chosen. Software does not work on any of them. | Shows very limited or fragmented understanding of software. Clumsy / repetitive presentation; serious deficiencies and omissions in most of the presentation; unable to give satisfactory answers to any questions |
| F- 10% - 19% | Work shows little adherence to the tasks | System behavior is not related to the initial requirements. No examples demonstrated. Software does not work. | Shows very limited or fragmented understanding of software. Unstructured and ill-presented; unable to answer questions |
| G 0% - 9% | Nominal or complete lack of work | Virtually no understanding of the sotware. Sotware totally misbehaves. Or no softare demonstrated at all. | The presentation is very poor or virtually no demonstration is given |